RSM 3091: Innovation & Technology

University of Toronto Rotman School of Management PhD Seminar

PROF. KEVIN A. BRYAN Office #7034 | Office hours by appointment kevin.bryan@rotman.utoronto.ca

Thursdays 9-12 AM in Room 7024 First class Jan 8, Final class Apr 2 | No class on Feb 19

Overview

This course is intended to prepare PhD students to write novel research on topics related to innovation. Innovation is a multidisciplinary field: there is interesting work being done in economics, management, sociology, law and history, among others. We will cover the most important theoretical and empirical questions, discuss fundamental background knowledge from the related social sciences, and discuss the appropriateness of various methodologies for tackling open questions in the field. The only technical prerequisite is knowledge of basic game theory and the mathematics necessary to solve those types of models.

Readings

Required readings are denoted with a * in the syllabus. All required reading is made up of articles, except that you need to purchase Joel Mokyr's "Gifts of Athena" and Bruno Latour's "Laboratory Life". Please purchase these as soon as possible.

Assignments

Each class, I will begin with a 30 minute lecture and occasional forays into data sources of interest, before we discuss the day's reading in earnest.

If you are taking this class for credit, you are obligated to do all of the assigned reading, as well as prepare 10 minute introductions of your share of the papers (to be assigned week-by-week) for your classmates, as well as to write a term paper. For each assigned paper, I will designate a student to prepare a 10 minute discussion about what you see as the important contribution of the paper, your thoughts on why the paper has become influential, and your insight as to flaws or shortcomings which can be modified in follow-up work. This discussion ought help structure the broader discussion of the paper among your classmates. The term paper should essentially contain the germ of a legitimate research paper on an open question in innovation; as you are graduate students, it is not worth your time to work on projects which do not contribute to graduating on time, which is why I assign term papers which might conceivably become part of your disseration rather than assigning exams.

Grading will be based entirely on the term paper and your contribution to a good classroom environment by properly preparing for each class.

WEEK 1 | INTRO, FUNDAMENTAL MODELS

K. Arrow, Economic Welfare and the Allocation of Resources for Invention, In 1962 NBER volume The Rate and Direction of Inventive Activity What makes invention an interesting economic problem? P. Aghion, N. Bloom, R. Blundell, R. Griffith and P. Howitt, Competition and In-2005 novation: An Inverted U Relationship, QJE *Moderate levels of competition maximize innovation, theoretically and empirically* G. Grossman and E. Helpman, Quality Ladders in the Theory of Economic Growth, 1991 RESTUD Expands differentiated products models to allow quality-improving innovation S. Scotchmer, Standing on the Shoulders of Giants: Cumulative Innovation and 1991 the Patent Law, JEP *Qualitative exploration of how cumulativeness interacts with the idea of patent law* J. Green and S. Scotchmer, On the Division of Profit in Sequential Invention, RAND 1995 Basic model of sequential invention D. Acemoglu, Directed Technical Change, RESTUD 2002 How do changes in factor prices affect the incentive to innovate? F. Fisher and P. Temin, Returns to Scale in Research and Development: What Does 1973 the Schumpeterian Hypothesis Imply?, JPE How does increasing returns to R&D relate to the size of firm that does R&D C. Rodriguez, A Comment on Fisher and Temin on the Schumpeterian Hypothesis, 1979 IPE *Expanding on Fisher and Temin, testing the Schumpeterian hypothesis is even harder* P. Aghion and P. Howitt, A Model of Growth Through Creative Destruction, Ecta 1992 The classic model of Schumpeterian Growth WP P. Aghion, U. Akcigit and P. Howitt, What Do We Learn From Schumpeterian Growth Theory? What have we learned from creative destruction models a la Aghion-Howitt? P. Romer, Increasing Returns and Long-Run Growth, JPE 1986 Origin of endogenous growth lit where current knowledge begets future growth

	Week 2 Path Dependence, Science and Technology, Modeling Growth
1985	P. David, Clio and the Economics of QWERTY, AER P&P
	Path dependence can explain QWERTY, though see Liebowitz and Margolis
1990	R. Cowan, Nuclear Power Reactors: A Study in Technological Lock-in, JEH
	Path dependence based on a minor factor led to dominance of light water reactors
1994	S. Liebowitz and S. Margolis, Network Externality: An Uncommon Tragedy JEP Socially inefficient path dependence is not what happened to the OWERTY keyboard
* 2006	S. Page. Path Dependence. Quarterly Journal of Political Science
2000	Great typology of different ways that "path dependence" can be thought of formally
1994	H. Brooks, The Relationship Between Science and Technology, RP
	Science affects technology in six ways, and technology also feeds back into science
* 1962	R. Nelson, The Link Between Science and Invention: The Case of the Transistor,
	in NBER Volume The Rate and Direction of Inventive Activity
	Classic case study of the mutual feedback between science and invention
* 1990	P. Romer, Endogenous Technological Change, JPE
	Knowledge affects growth like Romer 1986 but also created as an equilibrium choice
* 1999	C. Jones, Growth: With or Without Scale Effects, AER
	Endogenous growth involves tricky modeling choices to get realistic growth paths
1997	S. Kortum, Research, Patenting and Technological Change, Ecta
	Why is research output not growing even as we have many more scientists?
2011	R. Goettler and B. Gordon, Did AMD Spur Intel to Innovate More?, JPE
	Structural examination of how competition spurs innovation along a quality ladder
WP	B. Jones, The Knowledge Trap: Human Capital and Development Reconsidered
	More diversity in occupations matters so effect of human capital on growth is large
1942	J. Schumpeter, Capitalism Socialism and Democracy
	Especially Chapter 7 is the Late Schumpeter on his "creative destruction"
1990	J. Mokyr, The Lever of Riches
	Legendary book on technology and growth from BC until the modern era
1981	R. Easterlin, Why Isn't the Whole World Developed?, JEH
	Human capital is a precondition for technology adoption

	Week 3 Managing Science Workers, The Firm as an Innovation Machine
2009	M. Marx, D. Strumsky, and L. Fleming, Mobility, Skills, and the Michigan Non-Compete Experiment, MS
	Noncompete agreements limit mobility of technically skilled employees
* 2011	O. Sorenson and S. Samila, Non-compete Covenants?: Incentives to Innovate or Impediments to Growth, MS
	Noncompete agreements are bad for entrepreneurship
2011	J. Singh, and A. Agrawal, Recruiting for Ideas: How Firms Exploit the Prior Inven- tions of New Hires, MS
	Not much evidence that you buy another firm's knowledge when you buy a researcher
1994	P. Bolton and M. Dewatripont, The Firm as a Communication Network, QJE
	How do you organize a firm to get the right information to the right people?
2004	J. Anton and D. Yao, Little Patents and Big Secrets: Managing Intellectual Property, RAND
	The optimal use of secrecy to protect inventions depends on the size of the invention
* 2011	G. Manso, Motivating Innovation, Journal of Finance
	Optimal labor schemes for scientists are lenient about failure
1994	J. Lerner and U. Malmendier, Contractibility and the Design of Research Agreements, QJE
	How to get the research firm you contract with to actually do the research you want?
* 1994	P. Aghion and J. Tirole, The Management of Innovation, QJE
	Incomplete contracts as an explanation for why $R arphi D$ is sometimes internal
1989	B. Holmstrom, Agency Costs and Innovation, JEBO
	Exploration of how mech. design can explain strange-looking R&D contracts
2008	P. Aghion, M. Dewatripont and J. Stein, Academic Freedom, Private Sector Focus, and the Process of Innovation, RAND
	Give academics autonomy in basic research because it's cheaper
1990	N. Rosenberg, Why Do Firms Do Basic Research (with their own money?), RP By accident, because of agency problems, because they are big or like risk
* 1994	B. Holmstrom and P. Milgrom, The Firm as an Incentive System, AER Performance incentives need bundle with additional organizational features

	Week 4 Recombination, GPTs, Directing Science
2001	L. Fleming, Recombinant Uncertainty in Technological Search Management, MS <i>Recombinant knowledge across fields is valuable</i>
* 1998	M. Weitzman, Recombinant Growth, QJE
	Limit to growth is finding new combinations from huge set of existing knowledge
* 2013	B. Uzzi, S. Mukherjee, M. Stringer and B. Jones, Atypical Combinations and Scien- tific Impact. Science
	Optimally your work should be weird but not too weird
WP	A. Galasso and M. Schankerman, Patents and Cumulative Innovation: Causal Ev-
	Datente limit aubacquent une in complex fielde aubare many users are small
	Futerits timit subsequent use in complex fields where many users are small
2014	through Innovation, SMJ
	Uses topic modeling to show recombinant inventions are not breakthroughs
1995	T. Bresnahan and M. Trajtenberg, General Purpose Technologies: Engines of Growth?, Journal of Econometrics
	Introduces idea of key "general purpose technologies" in history of innovation
2004	N. Rosenberg and M. Trajtenberg, A General Purpose Technology at Work: The
-	Corliss Steam Engine in the Late Nineteenth Century United States, JEH
	Empirical case of how a GPT leads to growth-inducing reallocation
* 2005	B. Jovanavic and P. Rousseau, General Purpose Technologies, in The Handbook of
	How did the economy react to the introduction of electricity and IT?
* 1979	N. Rosenberg, Technological Interdependence in the American Economy, Tech- nology and Culture
	Why is it so hard to find evidence that certain technologies matter for growth?
1997	D. Stokes, Pasteur's Quadrant
	Science that is both basic and applied is widespread
2014	D. Acemoglu, U. Akcigit, D. Hanley and W. Kerr, Transition to Clean Technology

How can directed technical change theories guide policies to limit climate change?

	Week 5 Entrepreneurship
* 1996	W. Baumol, Entrepreneurship: Productive, Unproductive, and Destructive, JBV <i>Innovators can be socially useful or rent-seekers, and have been both historically</i>
1989	D. Evans and B. Jovanovic, An estimated model of entrepreneurial choice under liquidity constraints, JPE
	The classic structural model of entrepreneurship
1979	R. Kihlstrom and J.J. Laffont, A General Equilibrium Entrepreneurial Theory of Firm Formation Based on Risk Aversion, JPE
	GE model of risk differences as a driver of entrepreneurship
* 1995	J. Anton and D. Yao, Startups, Spinoffs and Internal Projects, Journal of Law, Eco- nomics and Organization
	What keeps researchers at a firm who have a good idea from leaving?
2005	P. Gompers, J. Lerner, and D. Scharfstein, Entrepreneurial Spawning, Journal of
	Finance
	Entrepreneurs learn from well-suited "spawning" firms
2001	B. Hamilton, Does Entrepreneurship Pay: An Empirical Analysis of the Returns to Self-Employment, JPE
2013	Entrepreneurs make much less money even without adjusting for the additional risk J. Haltiwanger, R. Jarmin and J. Miranda, Who Creates Jobs? Small vs. Large vs. Young, RESTAT
	Young firms, not small firms, are engines of job growth
2011	E. Hurst and B. Pugsley, What do Small Businesses Do?, Brookings Papers on Economic Activity
	Most small firms don't hire or grow, are run by people who want to be their own boss
* 2014	T. Astebro, H. Hurz, R. Nanda and R. Weber, Seeking the Roots of Entrepreneur- ship: Insights from Behavioral Economics, JEP
	Risk aversion and overconfidence do not drive entrepreneurship
* 2000	S. Shane and S. Venkataraman, The Promise of Entrepreneurship as a Field of Re- search, AMR
	How do entrepreneurs recognize and create opportunities?

	Week 6 Geography of Invention, Spillovers, Diffusion
WP	A. Matray, The Local Spillovers of Listed Firms
	Is agglomeration causally linked to the geographic clustering of innovative activity?
1996	D. Audretsch and Feldman, M, R&D Spillovers and the Geography of Innovation
	and Production, AER
	Innovation is much more concentrated geographically than production
* 2013	N. Bloom, M. Schankerman, and J. Van Reenen, Identifying Technology Spillovers
	and Product Market Rivalry, Ecta
	Technology spillovers dominate socially-inefficient market stealing
2010	G. Ellison, E. Glaeser, and W. Kerr, What Causes Industry Agglomeration? Evi-
	dence from Coagglomeration Patterns, AER
	Input-output analysis can help identify why industries agglomerate
1992	Z. Griliches, The Search for R&D Spillovers, Scandinavian Journal of Economics
	Identifying R&D Spillovers has traditionally been incredibly difficult
1993	A. Jaffe, M. Trajtenberg, and R. Henderson, Geographic Localization of Knowledge
	Spillovers as Evidenced by Patent Citations, QJE
	Backward patent citations provide evidence for spillovers
2014	S. Kantor and A. Whalley, Knowledge Spillovers from Research Universities: Evi-
	dence from Endowment Value Shocks, RESTAT
	University research instrumented using endowment shocks spills over to industry
* 2010	T. Conley and C. Udry, Learning about a New Technology: Pineapple in Ghana,
	AER
	Social network data to examine how a new pineapple technology spreads in Ghana
1957	J. Coleman, E. Katz and H. Menzel, The Diffusion of an Innovation Among Physi-
	cians, Sociometry
	The classic diffusion paper, among doctors in Chicagoland
2010	P. Azoulay, J. Graff Zivin and J. Wang, Superstar Extinction, QJE
	The premature death of scientific superstars can help measure the effect of local spillovers
2010	D. Comin and B. Hobijn, An Exploration of Technology Diffusion, AER
	Why does technology take so long to diffuse across countries?
* 1957	Z. Griliches, Hybrid Corn: An Exploration in the Economics of Technical Change,
	Ecta
	Examines the rationality of the lagged diffusion of hybrid corn in a classic study
1995	E. Rogers, Diffusion of Innovations
	Legendary psuedotextbook covering diffusion literature from many different fields
1995	G. Saloner and A. Shephard, Adoption of Technologies with Network Effects, RAND
	Empirical investigation of the diffusion of a network good
* 2010	J. Evans, Industry Induces Academic Science to Know Less about More, AJS
	Industry collaboration causes academics to work less deeply and more broadly

	Week 7 Patents and their alternatives
* 1979	G. Loury, Market Structure and Innovation, QJE The classic "patent race" model and why firms might innovate too much
1980	T. Lee and L. Wilde, Market Structure and Innovation: A Reformulation, QJE
1982	A minor but important caveat to Loury's patent race J. Reinganum, A Dynamic Game of R and D: Patent Protection and Competitive Behavior, Ecta
	How do patent races change when we allow non-static strategies?
* 2005	M. Lemley and C. Shapiro, Probablistic Patents, JEP
	Patents are not that strong in practice
2009	J. Bessen and E. Maskin, Sequential Innovation, Patents, and Imitation, RAND
	Patents can discourage innovation in cumulative industries like software
1988	E. von Hippel, The Sources of Innovation
	Many cases of industries where users were the dominant source of new inventions
2011	C. Ponce and E. Henry, Waiting to Imitate: On the Dynamic Pricing of Knowledge, JPE
	Inventors earn rents by threatening to give away their tech to rivals if no one pays
2012	L. Brunt, J. Lerner and T. Nicholas, Inducement Prizes and Innovation, Journal of
	Industrial Economics
	Examines a series of royal society prizes for innovation in the 1800s
2012	G. Weyl and J. Tirole, Market Power Screens Willingness-to-Pay, QJE
	Benefit of patents versus prizes depends on slope of demand curve
* 1998	M. Kremer, Patent Buyouts: A Mechanism for Encouraging Innovation, QJE
	Governments should buy patents to reduce deadweight loss, use auction to do it
2002	N. Gallini and S. Scotchmer, Intellectual Property: What is the Best Incentive Sys-
	tem, Innovation Policy and the Economy
	Summarizes literature on alternatives to patents
2008	M. Lemley, The Surprising Virtues of Treating Trade Secrets as IP Rights, Stanford Law Review
	Trade Secrets actually are a tool for disclosure if policy is optimal
2003	M. Baye and H. Hoppe, The Strategic Equivalence of Rent-Seeking, Innovation and
	Patent-Race Games, Games and Economic Behavior
	Rent-seeking games and patent races are simple to analyze
1994	J. Anton and D. Yao, Expropriation and Inventions: Appropriable Rents in the Ab-
	sence of Property Rights, AER
	Inventors without patents can earn rents by threatening to make invention public
1986	M. Katz and C. Shapiro, How to License Intangible Property, QJE
	What goes wrong when firms try to sell to product market competitors?
* 2006	H. Hopenhayn, H. Llobet and M. Mitchell, Rewarding Sequential Innovators: Prizes, Patents and Buyouts, JPE
	Forcing innovators to set a buyout price optimally rewards sequential innovators
WP	K. Bryan and J. Lemus, The Direction of Innovation
	When do firms work on the wrong types of projects?

	Week 8 Empirical Effects of Patents and other IP
WP	B. Sampat and H. Williams, How do patents affect follow-on innovation?: Evi- dence from the human genome
	Formal IP less harmful if easy to license and IP holders have incentive to do so
* 2014	H. Williams, Intellectual Property Rights and Innovation: Evidence from the Hu-
	Formal ID causes innovations to be used less frequently by downstream users
* 1000	A Traitanharg A Danny for your Quotas: Datant Citations and the Value of Inno
1990	vation, RAND
	Patent citations are a useful proxy for the (otherwise highly skew) value of patents
2014	T. Nicholas, Are Patents Creative or Destructive, Antitrust Law Journal
	Accessible introduction to where patents are particularly appropriate
WP	T. Nicholas, Scale and Innovation During Two U.S. Breakthrough Eras
	In 20th century, big firms innovate more, but don't do more creative work
2001	B. Hall and R. Ziedonis, The Patent Paradox Revisited: An Empirical Study of
	Patenting in the US Semiconductor Industry, 1979-95, RAND
	Why do firms in some industries say patents don't matter but then use them a ton?
2005	B. Hall, A. Jaffe and M. Trajtenberg, Market Value and Patent Citations
5	Uses Tobin's O to value patent citations
2012	P. Moser, Innovation Without Patents: Evidence from World's Fairs, Journal of
	Law and Economics
	Almost all important innovations in 1800s Britain were not patented
* 2013	A. Hagiu and D. B. Yoffie. The New Patent Intermediaries: Platforms. Defensive
5	Aggregators and Super-Aggregators, IEP
	The patent market involves many third parties with empirically interesting stories
1007	L Lerner An Empirical Exploration of a Technology Race RAND
- 771	Reinganum-style patent races do seem to occur in the disk drive industry
* 2005	P Moser How Do Patent Laws Influence Innovation? Evidence from Nineteenth-
2003	Century World Fairs AFR
	Uses World's Fair records to show how patent systems affect innovation style

	Week 9 The Sociology of Science
1996	S. Schaeffer, Making up Discovery, in Dimensions of Creativity
	Credit for discoveries, or idea of how the discovery came about, are made up expost
* 1979	B. Latour and P. Woolgar, Laboratory Life: The Construction of Scientific Facts
	(required pp 105-183)
	Science involves choices by scientists about how evidence is interpreted
2013	M. Bikard, Is Knowledge Trapped Inside the Ivory Tower, Working Paper
	Uses text scraping to find simultaneous inventions
2010	F. Murray, The Oncomouse that Roared, AJS
	How do academics react to formal IP restrictions that arrived with the Oncomouse?
* 2011	J. Furman and S. Stern, Climbing Atop the Shoulders of Giants, AER
	Openness to reuse increases the use of previous knowledge
2010	U. Shwed and P. Bearman, The Temporal Structure of Scientific Consensus Forma-
	tion, ASR
	Can we used automated methods to figure out when scientific consensus exists?
2014	R. Funk, Making the Most of Where You Are: Geography, Networks, and Innova-
	tion in Organizations, AMJ
	Optimal organization structure may be cohesiveness-inducing or not
* WP	R. Funk and J. Owen-Smith, A Dynamic Network Approach to Breakthrough In-
	novation
	How can we identify "breakthrough innovations" in the data?
1957	R. K. Merton, Priorities in Scientific Discovery: A Chapter in the Sociology of Sci-
	ence, AJS
	Priority disputes are widespread
2001	J. Owen-Smith, Managing Laboratory Work through Skepticism: Processes of Eval-
	uation and Control, ASR
	Skepticism is used for control and not simply evaluation
* 2001	A. Hargadon and Y. Douglas, When Innovations Meet Institutions: Edison and the
	Design of the Electric Light, ASQ
	Design helps the acceptance of radical innovations
2004	R. Burt, Structural Holes and Good Ideas, AJS
	Knowledge "brokers" in organizational structural holes find it easier to move new
	ideas

	Week 10 Firm Strategy
* 1990	W. Cohen and D. Levinthal, Absorptive capacity: a new perspective on innovation and learning, ASQ
	Scientists within the firm help you find opportunities outside the firm
* 2014	J. Gans, D. Hsu and M. Marx, Dynamic Commercialization Strategies for Disrup- tive Technologies: Evidence from the Speech Recognition Industry, MS
	When should you wait for potential disruptors to try things out and then buy them?
2002	J. Gans, D. Hsu and M. Marx, When Does Start-Up Innovation Spur the Gale of Creative Destruction?. RAND
	Why don't disruptors just sell out to incumbents and thus maximize joint profits?
2003	J. Bessen, Technology and Learning by Factory Workers: The Stretch-Out at Low-
	Worker tenure is important when learning curves are important
WP	A Agrawal A Goldfarb and F Teodoris Does Knowledge Accumulation Increase
**1	the Returns to Collaboration? Evidence from the Collapse of the Soviet Union
	<i>Evidence that science is done in teams more often now because science is simply harder</i>
WP	C. Catalini, How Does Co-Location Affect the Rate and Direction of Innovative Ac-
	tivity?
	Being physically proximate, at the level of an office, matters for productivity
WP	D. Acemoglu, U. Akcigit and M. A. Celik, Young, Restless and Creative: Openness
	to Disruption and Creative Innovations
	Places that allow young managers to rise quickly also do more radical innovation
* 1986	D. Teece, Profiting from Technological Innovation, RP
	You need "complementary assets" if you want to make money from inventions
2009	B. Jones, The Burden of Knowledge and the Death of the Renaissance Man, RESTUD
	Science is getting harder and people rationally are specializing more
2004	S. Stern, Do Scientists Pay to Be Scientists?, MS
	Scientists turn down higher salaries if they cannot publish their work
2002	J. Anton and D. Yao, The Sale of Ideas: Strategic Disclosure, Property Rights and
	Contracting, RESTUD
*	Partially disclose your idea to make it easier to sell
1993	K. Henderson, Underinvestment and incompetence as Responses to Radical Inno-
	valion, rand
	Existing jums jui to exploit radical innovations for neoclassical and org. reasons

	Week 11 One Million BC to the Industrial Revolution
* 1997	P. Temin, Two Views of the British Industrial Revolution, JEH
	Was the IR broad or simply driven by a few small industries?
1999	J. Mokyr, Editor's Introduction: The New Economic History and the Industrial
	Revolution
	A lengthy summary of how science and technology drove the IR in Mokyr's View
* 2002	J. Mokyr, The Gifts of Athena (required pp 1-77)
	Diffusion of useful knowledge, not its creation, was essential in modern growth
2009	R. Allen, The Industrial Revolution in Miniature: The Spinning Jenny in Britain,
	France, and India, JEH
	The IR did not happen in Britain simply because Britain had better inventions
* 2000	O. Galor and D. Weil, Population, Technology and Growth: From Malthusian Stag-
	nation to the Demographic Transition and Beyond, AER
	Famous Unified Growth Model of Malthusian and Post-Malthusian eras
1993	M. Kremer, Population Growth and Technological Change: One Million B. C. to
	1990, QJE
	Can Romer-style endogenous growth explain the long long long run of history?
* 1960	A. E. Musson and E. Robinson, Science and Industry in the Late Eighteenth Cen-
	tury, EHR
	What did the technological world look like at the dawn of the IR?
1994	J. De vries, The Industrial Revolution and the Industrious Revolution, JEH
	Increase in labor supply and market production preceded industrial Revolution
2005	G. Clark, the Condition of the Working-Class in England, 1209-2004, JPE
1078	C N Von Tunzelmann, Steam Power and British Industrialization to 1860
1970	Steam was not critical to the British IR
2004	N Crafts Steam as a General Purpose Technology: A Growth Accounting Per-
2004	snective FI
	Empirics show steam power can only explain tiny portion of TEP growth during IR
1962	A. Gerschenkron, Economic Backwardness in Historical Perspective
-,	Series of essays on European growth which suggest growth paths were not identical

	Week 12 The Second IR, Using History as an Applied Economist
* 1990	P. David, The Dynamo and the Computer, AER P&P
	<i>Electricity took long time from invention to impact; similar story for the computer?</i>
* WP	J. Mokyr, C. Vickers and N. Ziebarth, Technological Anxiety and the Future of
	Economic Growth: Is This Time Different?
	Is the rise of robotics and AI a different phenomenon from Industrial Revolutions?
1987	G. Clark, Why Isn't the Whole World Developed? Lessons from the Cotton Mills,
	JEH
	Is culture important for the early modern income differences?
1990	G. Wright, The Origins of American Industrial Success, 1879-1940, AER
	Exploitation of natural resources helps explain the rise of America
1993	B. Z. Kahn and K. Sokoloff, 'Schemes of Practical Utility': Entrepreneurship and
	Innovation Among 'Great Inventors' in the United States, 1790-1865, JEH
	Great inventors in early modern era actively pursued market opportunities
2015	W. W. Hanlon, Necessity is the Mother of Invention: Input Supplies and Directed
-	Technical Change, Ecta
	<i>Civil War cotton price changes affect types of cotton-using inventions</i>
* 2012	A. Nuvolari and J. Sumner, Inventors, Patents and Inventive Activities in the En-
	glish Brewing Industry, 1634-1850, BHR
	Invention of Porter is a great example of collective invention
* 2013	N. Lamoreaux, K. Sokoloff and D. Sutthiphisal, Patent Alchemy: The Market for
	Technology in US History, BHR
	Active sales markets for patents are not a new phenomenon
1983	R. Allen, Collective Invention, JEBO
	In new industries collective sharing of knowledge has long been common
2011	R. Richter and J. Streb, Catching Up and Falling Behind: Knowledge Spillover from
	American to German Toolmakers, JEH
	How does "stealing machines" in a country today affect innovation tomorrow?
2006	N. Lamoreaux, M. Levenstein and K. Sokoloff, Mobilizing Venture Capital During
	the Second Industrial Revolution: Cleveland, Ohio 1870-1920, Capitalism and So-
	ciety
	Something looking very much like venture capital existed in the late 1800s